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Presentation notes

Group1: (Design: 7, Presentation: 6)

Design:

Good :

1. Dropdown box for user to choose attribute

Bad:

1. Does not show similarity result
2. Two subnetworks share 0 similarities

Feedback:

1. Unnecessarily complicate, hard for user to understand what does table means
2. Not an intuitive design for the user. No instructions and no direct manipulation.
3. Individual cell, no relation
4. No slides

Group3: (Design: 8, Presentation: 8)

Design

Good:

1. Nodes in subnetwork can move
2. Show table format for information

Bad:

1. Background will affect user to see
2. No reselect for nodes

Feedback

1. In subnetwork, there are some content match together, hard to see

Group 4: (Design: 9, Presentation: 8.5)

Design

Good:

1. colorful node

2. reselect node

3. use can choose dropdown box

Bad:

1. when choose node will change color to yellow, since too many color it is not clear
2. color represent year, but cannot identify which year is which color
3. No way to show the user there is no similarity for a node. User must assume

Feedback

1. Give color based on year, but if no one explain, no way to know how to give the different color.
2. Include too many information for user to know

Group 5: (Design: 8.5, Presentation: 7.5)

Design:

Good:

1. Tree format to show
2. Node can be drag
3. Website clear and looks nice and interesting

Bad:

1. The two website looks not related

Feedback:

1. When find similarity, user has to enter the wanted movie name, that may hard to continue if use do not know the movie name exactly
2. Hard to understand how to use website

Group6: (Design: 7, Presentation: 7.5)

Design:

Good:

1. Dropdown menu to show director name and user can choose
2. When user choose, the information will show at right side
3. When user choose node in one subnetwork, any other related nodes will show in both of subnetwork

Bad:

* + 1. The two website looks not related

Feedback:

1. The information box at right side include too many information, but no identified message to help user understand

Group7: (Design: 7, Presentation: 7.5)

Design:

Good:

1. Show similarity information as table format

Bad:

1. When use choose node, it change to color, but not clear since too many colors
2. Show similarity result in alert box
3. Similar website design with Group 4

Feedback:

1. Too many color, many user confused and too massive
2. Similarity result not formatted, and since show in alert box, user hard to remember
3. Compare each node of subnetwork one by one, looks not make sense. It should do similarity between subnetworks

Group8: (Design: 7, Presentation: 7.5)

Design:

1. No website to show and no interactive

Feedback:

1. User cannot enter anything, and no any tips as a guide, then do not know how to use
2. Similarity show between two subnetwork

Group9: (Design: 7, Presentation: 7.5)

Design:

Good:

1. All nodes are dynamic, zoom in automatically
2. When user choose node, the link between selected node change shorter and shorter

Bad:

1. No any title or describe that user may not understand what show they do when open the website
2. Has node that individual
3. Except the network, no more information show

Feedback:

1. User need to see result through developer tool